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## Notations

- $B_{q}=\{0,1, \ldots, q-1\}$.
- For $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in B_{q}^{n}$,
- $\operatorname{supp}(u)=\left\{i \mid u_{i} \neq 0\right\}$.
- $w t(u)=|\operatorname{supp}(u)|$.

■ $[n]=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
■ For $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ over $B_{q}, c^{i}$ is the $i$-th column of $C$.

## Definition

An $m \times n$ matrix $C$ over $B_{q}$ is called a $t$-covering array (or, a covering array of size $m$, strength $t$, degree $n$, and order $q$ ) if, in any $t$ columns of $C$, all $q^{t}$ possible $q$-ary $t$-vectors occur at least once. We denote such an array by $C A(m ; t, n, q)$.

## Example

The following matrix is a 2-covering array over $B_{2}$.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Definition

An $m \times n$ matrix $C$ over $B_{q}$ is called a $t$-covering array (or, a covering array of size $m$, strength $t$, degree $n$, and order $q$ ) if, in any $t$ columns of $C$, all $q^{t}$ possible $q$-ary $t$-vectors occur at least once. We denote such an array by $C A(m ; t, n, q)$.

## Applications

- circuit testing,
- intersecting codes,
- data compression.
- The main problem is to optimize one of the parameters $m$ and $n$ for given value of the other:
(a) find the minimum size $\operatorname{CAN}(t, n, q)$ of a $t$-covering array of given degree $n$ over $B_{q}$;
(b) find the maximum degree $\overline{C A N}(t, m, q)$ of a t-covering array of given size $m$ over $B_{q}$.
$\square q^{t} \leq \operatorname{CAN}(t, n, q) \leq q^{n}$.
- Rènyi (for $m$ even), and independently Katona, and Kleitman and Spencer (for all $m$ ) showed that $\overline{\operatorname{CAN}}(2, m, 2)=\binom{m-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1}$.
- Johnson and Entringer showed that $\operatorname{CAN}(n-2, n, 2)=\left\lfloor\frac{2^{n}}{3}\right\rfloor$.

■ Colbourn et al. give all the known upper and lower bounds for covering arrays up to degree 10 , order 8 and all possible strengths, but their classification results are much more limited.

## Theorem

(G. Roux 1987)
$\operatorname{CAN}(t+1, n+1, q) \geq q \operatorname{CAN}(t, n, q)$,
$\operatorname{CAN}(3,2 n, 2) \leq \operatorname{CAN}(3, n, 2)+\operatorname{CAN}(2, n, 2)$.

## Example

The following matrix is a 2 -covering array over $B_{2}$.

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Example

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Permutation of the rows

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Permutation of the columns
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
$0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}$
Permutation of the values of any column

## Definition

Two covering arrays $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ are equivalent if one can be transformed into the other by a series of operations of the following types:
(a) permutation of the rows;
(b) permutation of the columns;
(c) permutation of the values of any column.

- Katona proved that maximal binary covering arrays of strength 2 are uniquely determined up to equivalence.
- Johnson and Entringer showed that $\left\lfloor\frac{2^{n}}{3}\right\rfloor \times n$ binary covering arrays of strength $n-2$ are uniquely determined up to equivalence.


## Goals

- Classify the structures of some optimal binary 2-covering arrays.
- Improve the lower bound of Roux on $\operatorname{CAN}(t, n, q)$ when $t=3, q=2$.

■ For $u \in B_{2}^{n}, \bar{u}=\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{u}_{n}\right)$ where

$$
\bar{u}_{i}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } u_{i}=0 ; \\ 0, & \text { if } u_{i}=1 .\end{cases}
$$

- $u \in B_{2}^{n} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{supp}(u) \subseteq[n]$

■ The following statements are equivalent.

- $C$ is a binary $t$-covering array.
- $\cap_{k=1}^{t} X_{i_{k}} \neq \emptyset$ for $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\} \subseteq[n]$, where $X_{k}$ is either $\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{k}\right)$ or $\operatorname{supp}\left(\overline{c^{k}}\right)$.

$$
C=\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& c^{1} & c^{2} & c^{3} & c^{4} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{1}\right)=\{1,2\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{2}\right)=\{1,3\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{3}\right)=\{1,4\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{4}\right)=\{1,5\}
$$

$$
C=\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& c^{1} & c^{2} & c^{3} & c^{4} \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{1}\right)=\{1,2\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{2}\right)=\{1,3\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{3}\right)=\{1,4\}
$$

$$
\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{4}\right)=\{1,5\}
$$



## Definition

The standard maximal binary 2-covering array $C$ of size $m$ is an $m \times\binom{ m-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1}$ matrix with
(1) the first row of $C$ is all 1 row,
(2) the columns of the remaining matrix is the family of all vectors of

$$
\left(\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1\right) 1 \text { 's and }\left(m-\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \text { 0's. }
$$

## Example

| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## Theorem

(E. W. Hall 1935)

Suppose we have a bipartite graph $G$ with two vertex sets $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. Suppose that

$$
|\Gamma(S)| \geq|S| \quad \text { for every } S \subset V_{1} .
$$

Then $G$ contains a complete matching.

## Lemma

Let $C$ be a 2-covering array of size $m$ and degree $n$ with $w t\left(c^{i}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Put $s=\min _{1 \leq i \leq n} w t\left(c^{i}\right)$. For any integer $s^{\prime}$ satisfying $s<s^{\prime} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$, there is a 2-covering array $C^{\prime}$ of size $m$ and degree $n$ with $s^{\prime} \leq w t\left(c^{\prime i}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{i}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime i}\right)$ for all $i \in[n]$.

## Corollary

Let $C$ be a 2-covering array of size $m$ and degree $n$ with $w t\left(c^{i}\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$ for all $i \in[n]$ and $w t\left(c^{j}\right)<\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$. Then there is a 2 -covering array $C^{\prime}$ of size $m$ and degree $n$ with $w t\left(c^{\prime j}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1$ and $w t\left(c^{\prime i}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$ for all $i \in[n]$ and $i \neq j$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(c^{i}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{\prime i}\right)$ for all $i \in[n]$.

## Theorem

(A. J. W. Hilton, E. C. Milner 1967)

Let $2 \leq k \leq \frac{m}{2}$. Let $C$ be a binary 2 -covering array of size $m$ such that $w t\left(c^{i}\right) \leq k$ for any column of $C$ and $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{supp}\left(c^{i}\right)=\emptyset$. Then

$$
n \leq d=1+\binom{m-1}{k-1}-\binom{m-k-1}{k-1} .
$$

There is strict inequality if $w t\left(c^{i}\right)<k$ for some $i \in[n]$.

## Theorem

Let $m \geq 4, k=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$, and $\binom{m-1}{k-1}+m-3 k+1 \leq n \leq\binom{ m-1}{k-1}$. If an $m \times n$ matrix $C$ over $B_{2}$ is a 2 -covering array, then $C$ is equivalent to the matrix made from deleting columns of standard binary 2 -covering.

## Corollary

Every maximal binary 2-covering arrays is equivalent to the standard maximal 2-covering array.

## Corollary

If $m \geq 6$ and $n=\binom{m-1}{\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-1}-1$, then every $m \times n$ binary 2 -covering array $C$ is made from deleting a column of the standard maximal 2-covering array.

- $10 \times 5,12 \times 11$ binary optimal 3 -covering and $24 \times 12$ binary optimal 4 -covering arrays are unique.
- There is no $48 \times 13$ binary 5 -covering array.


## Theorem

If $m \geq 7, k=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor$, and $\binom{m-1}{k-1}+m-3 k+1 \leq n \leq\binom{ m-1}{k-1}$, then

$$
\operatorname{CAN}(3, n+1,2) \geq \begin{cases}2 \operatorname{CAN}(2, n, 2)+1 & \text { if } m \text { is odd } \\ 2 \operatorname{CAN}(2, n, 2)+2 & \text { if } m \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

| $n$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C A(6 ; 2, n, 2)$ | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1 : The number of covering arrays $C A(6 ; 2, n, 2)$.

| $n$ | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C A(8 ; 2, n, 2)$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |

Table 2 : The number of covering arrays $C A(8 ; 2, n, 2)$.

| n | Lower Bound of Roux | Lower Bound of C.,Kim, Oh | Upper Bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $6-11$ | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 12 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| $13-16$ | 14 | 15 | $16-17$ |
| $17-31$ | 16 | 16 | $18-24$ |
| $32-36$ | 16 | 18 | 24 |
| $37-53$ | 18 | 18 | $24-29$ |
| $54-57$ | 18 | 19 | $29-31$ |
| $58-121$ | 20 | 20 | $31-33$ |
| $122-127$ | 20 | 22 | 33 |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $1710-1717$ | 28 | 30 | $66-67$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $6428-6436$ | 32 | 34 | 74 |

Table 3 : Tables of $\operatorname{CAN}(3, n, 2)$.

## Thank you for your attention!

